arXiv:0812.0117v4 [math.PR] 9 Jun 2010

Bounds for the annealed return probability on large finite random percolation clusters

Florian Sobieczky [1]

Abstract: By an eigenvalue comparison-technique polynomial bounds for the expected return probability of the delayed random walk on critical Bernoulli bond percolation clusters are derived. The results refer to invariant percolations on unimodular transitive planar graphs with almost surely finite critical clusters. Estimates for the integrated density of states of the graph Laplacian of the two-dimensional Euclidean lattice follow. The upper bound which also applies to non-planar graphs relies on the fact that Cartesian products of finite graphs with cycles of a certain minimal size are Hamiltonian. The lower bound involves an upper estimate of the isoperimetric number ('Cheeger-constant') of finite graphs.

Keywords: Random walks, annealed return probability, percolation, Hamiltonian graphs, Cartesian products, anomalous diffusion

AMS classification: 47B80, 05C81, 60K35, 60J27

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Purpose of the paper 2
1.2 Delayed Random walk on finite graphs 3
1.3 Invariant percolation on unimodular graphs 4

2 Results **6**
2.1 Bounds of the Return Probability on finite graphs 6
2.2 Annealed Return Probability on finite Percolation Subgraphs 6
2.3 Integrated density of states for $\mathbb{Z}^2$ 7
2.4 Number of open clusters per vertex 8

3 Proofs **9**
3.1 Auxilliary results 9
3.2 Proofs of main results 11

4 Acknowledgement **16**

5 Bibliography **16**

---

[1] Mathematisches Institut, University of Jena, supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund), project P18703.

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the paper

This paper is about the expected return probability of the delayed random walk on the finite clusters of critical percolation graphs.

The asymptotics of the integrated density of states (IDS) of the graph Laplacian on percolation subgraphs of the Euclidean lattice has recently been studied in the subcritical phase by Kirsch and Müller [16], and the supercritical phase by Müller and Stollmann [20]. The question of the IDS' asymptotics in the critical phase was left open. For the two-dimensional Euclidean lattive, we present upper and lower polynomial bounds. More generally, we find polynomial bounds for the expected return probability on finite critical percolation clusters on any planar transitive unimodular graph. The upper estimates also hold in the non-planar case. For homogeneous trees, this bound is strong enough to prove a difference in the asymptotics of the expected return probability if compared with the incipient infinite cluster [4].

The method from which these bounds are derived are comparison theorems for random walks on finite graphs. For the upper bound, the speciality here is the comparison of *all* the eigenvalues of the transition matrices. Taking into account the whole spectrum instead of only the spectral gap leads to an additional polynomially decreasing prefactor in front of the exponentially converging return probability. For the *expected* return probability another integration over all finite random clusters is involved. As in critical percolation the corresponding cluster size distribution is heavy-tailed, i.e. integral moments do not exist [3]. The result is a polynomial decay in time. For this decay the additional prefactor is an essential improvement.

The comparison theorem is obtained from the property of Cartesian products of finite graphs with maximum vertex degree δ and cycles C of size equal to δ to be Hamiltonian [5]. Since removing an edge always shifts the eigenvalues of the graph Laplacian towards zero, comparison of the eigenvalues of the Cartesian product graph with a spanning cycle is possible. The reason for this is that the spanning cycle can be obtained by successive removals of edges. This cycle exists due to Hamiltonicity [13]. In addition to this fact, we will use that the return probability of a continuous time random walk on a finite Cartesian product graph factorises into the return probabilities on its factors. Since the return probabilities are known on the cycle, this gives a bound for the return probability on the original graph.

For the lower bound, we resort to a result by Boshier [8] about the isoperimetric number of a finite graph (see [19]): This is an upper bound for the isoperimetric number of graphs with bounded genus. For planar graphs, this gives us a bound of the spectral gap from above by Cheeger's inequality.

1.2 Delayed Random walk on finite graphs

We now recall some standard facts from finite random walk theory. We write $\mathbb{N}_0$ for $\{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$, and $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. Since we will assume $|\mathcal{C}_o| < \infty$, we will reserve subscript 'o' for objects defined in connection with *finite* graphs.

Let $G_o = \langle V_o, E_o \rangle$ be a finite simple graph, i.e. the vertex set V_o has **finite** cardinality and there are no multiple edges in E_o, nor are they directed or have coinciding incident vertices ('loops'). Let δ be the maximal occuring degree, i.e. $\delta := \max\{\ \deg(v) \mid v \in V_o\}$, where $\deg(v) = |\{w \in V_0 \mid \{v, w\} \in E_o\}|$.

We define the discrete-time **delayed random walk (DRW)** on G_o to be the nearest neighbour random walk [24] with state space V_o, some initial distribution $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{+,1}(V_o)$, and transition probabilities $P_{vw} := (P(G_o))_{vw}$ with $v, w \in V_o$, and

$$(P(G_o))_{vw} \quad = \quad \begin{cases} \quad 1/\delta & \{v, w\} \in E_o, \\ 1\ -\ \deg(v)/\delta & \quad v = w, \\ \quad\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that the transition probabilities of v to w after n steps is given by the element of the matrix-power $(P^n)_{vw}$, for all $v, w \in V_o$.

The continuous-time version of the delayed random walk with coordinate-map X_t is defined as the Markov-process on the right-continuous V_o-valued functions depending on $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with some initial distribution $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{+,1}(V_o)$, and transition probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t = w | X_0 = v] \quad = \quad \left(e^{-t(1-P)}\right)_{vw}, \qquad v, w \in V_o. \tag{1}$$

We note that $\left(e^{-t(1-P)}\right)_{vw} = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} (P^n)_{vw} \frac{t^n}{n!} e^{-t}$, and that $(P^n)_{vw}$ is also the probability of X_t to reach w from v *conditioned* on the event of there having been exactly n jumps up to time t. The number $e^{-t} t^n / n!$ is the probability of that event, which is also characterised by $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$, where t_n is the sum of n independent exponentially distributed random variables ('waiting times') with parameter 1. So $\left(e^{-t(1-P)}\right)_{vw} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[X_t = w | X_0 = v,\ t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})\,] \mathbb{P}[t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})]$, (see [21]).

Finally, we note that choosing the initial distribution $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{+,1}(V_o)$ to be the **uniform distribution**, i.e. $X_0 \sim$UNIF$(\mathcal{C}_o)$, and $\nu(\{v\}) = 1/|V_o|$ gives the return probability as the value of a normalised trace

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] \ = \sum_{v \in V_o} \left(e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P)}\right)_{vv} \frac{1}{|V_o|} \ = \ \frac{1}{|V_o|} \mathrm{Tr}[e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P)}], \tag{2}$$

as $\mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] = \sum_{v \in V_o} \mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0 | X_0 = v] \mathbb{P}[X_0 = v]$, and $\mathbb{P}[X_0 = v] = \frac{1}{|V_o|}$.

1.3 Invariant percolation on unimodular graphs

We now define the setting for which the results of section 2.1 will be applied (see section 2.2).

Let $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ be an **infinite** simple (see above) graph, which has a transitive, unimodular subgoup Γ of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$. 'Transitive' means vertex-transitive, here, i.e. for all $v, w \in V$, there is an automorphism $\gamma \in \Gamma$, s.t. $w = \gamma(v)$. 'Unimodular' means that the left Haar measure of Γ is the same as the right Haar measure. We call such a graph a **unimodular graph**.

A well-known result for unimodular graphs is the so called **mass-transport-principle** (see [18],[7]). It says that for all Γ-diagonally invariant functions ($f(\gamma(v), \gamma(w)) = f(v, w)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$) it holds that

$$\sum_{w \in V} f(v, w) \;=\; \sum_{w \in V} f(w, v).$$

Let now $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be the probability space with $\Omega = 2^E$ the two-valued functions on the edges and $\mathcal{F} = \otimes_E \mathcal{F}_o$ the product $\sigma-$ algebra with $\mathcal{F}_o = \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. On $\mathcal{F}$, we consider a probability distribution $\mu : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1]$ with the property of Γ-invariance:

$$\mu(A) \;=\; \mu(\gamma(A)), \qquad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

In this way, for any fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, we obtain a random subgraph $G'(\omega) \leq G = \langle V, E \rangle$, of the form $G'(\omega) = \langle V, E'(\omega) \rangle$, where

$$E'(\omega) \;=\; \{\, e \in E \mid \omega(e) = 1 \,\} \;=\; \omega^{-1}(\{1\}).$$

A subgraph of G in which only edges are removed is called a *partial graph* of G. Therefore, with every $\omega \in \Omega$, we associate the random partial graph

$$G'(\omega) = \langle V, \omega^{-1}(\{1\}) \rangle.$$

We call the pair $\langle G, \mu \rangle$ an **invariant percolation μ on a unimodular graph G**.

We will now fix an arbitrary vertex $o \in V$, the 'root', and look for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ at the connected component of the graph $G'(\omega)$ which contains o, and call it $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$. Since we will assume $|\mathcal{C}_o| < \infty$, we will be interested in invariant percolation measures μ with μ-almost surely finite connected components, i.e.

$$\mu(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid |\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)| < \infty\}) \;=\; 1.$$

Examples: a.) *Bernoulli Percolation on the Euclidean Lattice*: $G = \langle \mathbb{Z}^d, N.N.\rangle$, and μ is the product measure on Ω: $\mu = \otimes_{e\in E}\pi_e$, where $\pi_e : \mathcal{F}_o \to [0,1]$, and $p = \pi(w(e) = 1) \in [0,1]$, for all $e \in E$. It is well-known that for sufficiently small p, the connected components are a.s. finite ('subcritical regime'). Also, in the 'supercritical regime' or the 'critical regime', for which $\mu(|\mathcal{C}_o| = \infty) > 0$, we may condition on the event $A := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid |\mathcal{C}_o| < \infty\}$. The conditional measure $\mu(\cdot|A) = \mu(\cdot \cap A)/\mu(A)$ is also Γ-invariant. It is a celebrated result that Bernoulli bond-percolation has almost surely finite cluster in the case $d = 2$.

b.) *Bernoulli Percolation on homogeneous trees.* The Bernoulli percolation measure μ on a homogeneous tree of degree δ is invariant under the action of any transitive subgroup of its automorphism group. It is well-known[11], that for critical percolation on the binary tree, we have that the $\mathbb{P}[|\mathcal{C}_o| \geq m] \sim m^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now, we define the delayed random walk on a random partial graph: Given $\omega \in \Omega$, consider the finite subgraph of $G'(\omega)$ induced by $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$, i.e. (using a standard notation) consider

$$G_o(\omega) \;:=\; G'(\omega)|\mathcal{C}_o(\omega).$$

As discussed in Section 1.2 this induces a random finite random walk with random state space $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$, random initial distribution $\nu^{(\omega)} \in \mathcal{M}_{+,1}(\mathcal{C}_o(\omega))$, and corresponding random return probabilities

$$P^{(\omega)}_{vw} := \left(P(G_o(\omega))\right)_{vw}, \tag{3}$$

which form a $|\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)| \times |\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)|$ matrix, where $|\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)|$ is μ-a.s. finite.

The random continuous-time random walk is formed analogously to the procedure of section 1.2, with $G_o = G_o(\omega)$. Choosing $\nu^{(\omega)} \in \mathcal{M}_{+,1}(\mathcal{C}_o(\omega))$as the initial distribution of the process to be the **uniform distribution** on $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$, the random continuous-time return-probabilities turn out to be (compare with (2))

$$\mathbb{P}[X^{(\omega)}_t = X^{(\omega)}_0] \;=\; \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)|}\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P(\omega))}],$$

where $P(\omega) = ((P^{(\omega)})_{vw})$ with $v, w \in \mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$ is the transition probability matrix (3) of the random discrete-time random walk on $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$.

We are interested in the expectation value of the return-probabilities

$$P_t(\mu, o) \;=\; \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P)}]\right].$$

Note: $P_t(\mu, o) \to \mathbb{E}_p[1/|\mathcal{C}_o|]$, as $t \to \infty$. So, the large-time limit of $P_t(\mu, o)$ is $\kappa(p)$, the spatial density of the number of connected components.

2 Results

We first present our estimates for finite graphs in section 2.1, and then apply them to estimate the expected return probability in section 2.2. Sections 2.3 and 2.4 contain the applications concerning the integrated density of states and the expected number of open clusters per vertex.

2.1 Bounds of the Return Probability on finite graphs

Theorem 2.1. *Let $G_o = \langle V_o, E_o \rangle$ be a simple, finite, connected graph with N vertices and largest degree δ. Let X_t be the delayed random walk on G_o, and β_2 the second-largest eigenvalue of its transition kernel. For $X_0 \sim UNIF(V_o)$, and $k \in \{1, ..., N-2\}$*

$$\textit{i.)} \qquad \mathbb{P}[X_t \, = \, X_0] \;\; \leq \;\; \frac{1}{N} \, + \, \delta \cdot \frac{k}{N} e^{-t(1-\beta_2)} \, + \, \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{32}} \frac{\delta\sqrt{\delta+2}}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-\frac{32tk^2}{(\delta+2)\delta^2 N^2}\right),$$

$$\textit{ii.)} \qquad \mathbb{P}[X_t \, = \, X_0] \;\; \leq \;\; \frac{1}{N} \, + \, \delta \cdot \frac{k}{N} e^{-t(1-\beta_2)} \, + \, \frac{\delta^2(\delta+2)}{16t} \frac{N}{k} \exp\left(-\frac{32tk^2}{(\delta+2)\delta^2 N^2}\right).$$

iii.) If additionally G_o is planar, and $N > 288$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t \, = \, X_0] \;\; \geq \;\; \frac{1}{N} \, + \, \frac{\exp\left(-tK/\sqrt{N}\right)}{N}, \qquad \textit{with } K \, = \, 12\sqrt{2} \cdot \delta.$$

These bounds allow choosing an optimal value of k, if something about the relation of β_2 and N is known. If k in Theorem 2.1, i.) and ii.) is of the order of N, the bound is qualitatively the same as the obvious estimate resulting from using the Poincaré inequality $1-\beta_j \geq \delta/(4N^2)$ for all $j \in \{2, ..., N\}$ (see [22], chapter 3.2).

2.2 Annealed Return Probability on finite Percolation Subgraphs

Let $P_t := P_t^o(\mu) := \mathbb{E}_\mu \mathbb{P}[X_t = o \mid X_0 = o]$ denote the expected return probability of continuous-time delayed random walk on $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$.

Theorem 2.2. *For μ being any invariant percolation on a unimodular transitive graph $G = \langle V, E \rangle$, let $A, B, a, b > 0$, with $b < 1$ such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$A\, m^{-a} \;\; \leq \;\; \mathbb{P}[|\mathcal{C}_o| \geq m] \;\; \leq \;\; B\, m^{-b}. \tag{4}$$

i.) Then there exists $C > 0$, such that for all α with $0 < \alpha < b$

$$P_t \;\; - \;\; \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\tfrac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\right] \;\; \leq \;\; C \cdot \mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha]\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha)}, \qquad \textit{where} \quad C \; = \; 27\,\delta(\delta+2).$$

ii.) If it is additionally assumed that G is planar, K as in Theorem 2.1, for $t > \sqrt{288}$

$$D \cdot t^{-2a(1+1/b)} \;\; \leq \;\; P_t \;\; - \;\; \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\tfrac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\right], \qquad \textit{where} \quad D \; = \; e^{-K} \frac{A/2}{1+(2B/A)^{1/b}}.$$

Corollary 2.3. *Consider P_t, the expected return probability of the delayed random walk on finite percolation clusters of critical Bernoulli bond percolation:*

- *i.) For the 2-dimensional Euclidean lattice, there is $C_2 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha] < \infty$, and for $t > 0$*

$$C_2^{-1} t^{-(1+\alpha^{-1})} \quad \le \quad P_t \ - \ \mathbb{E}_\mu[1/|\mathcal{C}_o|] \quad \le \ C_2\, \mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha]\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha)}.$$

- *ii.) For the homogeneous tree of degree δ, there is $\epsilon, C_\delta > 0$, such that for $t > 0$*

$$C_\delta^{-1} t^{-3} \quad \le \quad P_t \ - \ \mathbb{E}_\mu[1/|\mathcal{C}_o|] \quad \le \ C_\delta\, \mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon}]\, t^{-\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon}. \tag{5}$$

Remark: It is easy to show that given $0 < b < 1$, the condition $\mathbb{P}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o| \ge m] \le B\, m^{-b}$ for some $B > 0$ implies $\mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha] < \infty$ for all $0 < \alpha < b$.

Corollary 2.3 ii.) is interesting when compared with the results obtain by Barlow and Kumagai [4][2] for the asymptotics of the simple random walk on the incipient infinite cluster on trees. They have shown that the expected return probability is - regardless of the degree - of the orde of $t^{-2/3}$. Since (5) shows an upper bound for $P_t - \mathbb{E}_\mu[1/|\mathcal{C}_o|]$ that can be chosen arbitrarily close to $t^{-3/4}$, it is shown hereby that already the expected return probability displays a different behaviour of a delayed random walk on the finite clusters converging to its invariant distribution. That the difference in the asymptotics doesn't come from the difference in the definition of the simple and the delayed random walk shows Lemma 1.6 of [23], which proves the equivalence of the asymptotic types of corresponding to expected return probabilities, as long as they are polynomial and there is a maximum degree δ. This follows from the following: Letting Y_t be the simple random walk on $G_o(\omega)$ (see section 1.3), it holds

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \mathbb{P}[Y_t = Y_0] \ \le \ \mathbb{E}_\mu \mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] \ \le \ \mathbb{E}_\mu \mathbb{P}[Y_{t/\delta} = Y_0].$$

For the recently debated question of the locality of critical percolation [6] this may be of importance: as a nearest neighbour random walk at time $t > 0$ has almost surely travelled only finitely far, there is no information about wether the random state space will be infinite (as in the incipient infinite cluster), or finite, as in the regular percolation clusters on non-amenable graphs (such as the tree of degree δ).

2.3 Integrated density of states for $\mathbb{Z}^2$

Let again μ be an invariant bond percolation on the 2-dimensional Euclidean lattice $G = \langle \mathbb{Z}^2, N.N.\rangle$ with a μ-a.s. finite percolation cluster $\mathcal{C}_o$ with a size-distribution obeying (4).

Let $N(E)$ be the **integrated density of state** of the graph Laplacian $L(\omega)$ of the percolation subgraphs $G'(\omega)$, i.e. for $\Lambda_N = \{-N+1, ..., N\}^2$ the limit

$$N(E) \quad = \quad \lim \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \#\{\lambda \text{ Eigenvalue of } L_{\Lambda_N}(\omega) \leq E\}$$

exists, where $L_{\Lambda_N}(\omega)$ is the graph Laplacian of the finite induced subgraph $G'(\omega)|\Lambda_N$ (see [16]). Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2.4. *There is $C_3 > 0$, s.t. for $E > 0$ sufficiently small the integrated density of states $E \mapsto N(E)$ of the graph Laplacian obeyes*

$$C_3^{-1} \frac{E^{1+1/\alpha}}{(\log 1/E)^{1+1/\alpha}} \quad \leq \quad N_N(E) \quad - \quad N_N(0) \quad \leq \quad C_3 \; E^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha)}.$$

This result ought to be compared with Theorem 1.14 of [16], in which the asymptotics of the integrated density of states for sub-critical Bernoulli bond percolation on the Euclidean lattice is proved to have bounds of the form

$$\exp(-\alpha_-/\sqrt{E}) \;\; \leq \;\; N(E) \; - \; N(0) \;\; \leq \;\; \exp(-\alpha_+/\sqrt{E}),$$

for $E > 0$ sufficiently close to zero, for some $\alpha_-, \alpha_+ > 0$. It is plausible, that such (also lower) polynomial bounds hold in higher dimensions, too.

2.4 Number of open clusters per vertex

A central theme in percolation theory on the Euclidean lattice $G = \langle \mathbb{Z}^d, N.N. \rangle$ ('N.N.' means nearest neighbours) is the so called **number of connected components per site**. Given a finite box $\Lambda_N = \{-N+1, ..., N\}^d$, and the number $M_N(\omega)$ of connected components of the induced subgraph $G'(\omega)|\Lambda_N$, the μ-a.s. existence of the limit

$$\kappa(p) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{M_N(\omega)}{|\Lambda_N|}$$

and its almost sure independence of $\omega \in \Omega$ has been shown by Grimmet [12]. Its value equals $\kappa(p) \;\; = \;\; \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|} \right]$. Note that the number $1/\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$ is the value of the density of the uniform distribution on $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$.

Grimmet [12] has given upper and lower bounds for $\kappa(p)$ in the case of Bernoulli percolation on the Euclidean lattice. They entail expansions which are not converging quickly in the regime of the retention probability p being close to the critical value. We present the consequences of our bounds in terms of the expected cluster size $\chi(p) = \mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|]$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let μ be sub-critical Bernoulli bond percolation on the d-dimensional Euclidean lattice $G = \langle \mathbb{Z}^d, N.N. \rangle$ with almost surely finite connected components. Let $\chi(p) = \mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|]$. Then*

$$P_t \;-\; c\,\frac{\chi(p)}{t} \;\leq\; \kappa(p) \;\leq\; P_t\,. \tag{6}$$

with $c = \min\{\, \frac{d}{4}(d^2+d+8),\;\; 108d(d+1)\}$.

Remarks: The power of the method for the upper bound (mainly due to Lemma 3.3) becomes visible if one compares Theorem 2.5 with the simple bound obtained by using Poincaré's inequality for λ, together with $\lambda \leq 1-\beta_j$, for $j \geq 2$: In this case $P_t \;-\; \kappa(p) \;\leq\; \mathbb{E}_\mu[e^{-t\delta/(4|\mathcal{C}_o|^2)}]$, and we would get the bounds

$$P_t \;-\; (2/d)\,\frac{\mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^2]}{t} \;\leq\; \kappa(p) \;\leq\; P_t.$$

For the assymptotics to be of order $\sim t^{-1}$, the second moment appears in the constant, not the first, as in (6).

3 Proofs

3.1 Auxilliary results

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mathbb{E}_\mu$ refers to the integration over all partial graphs $\omega \in \Omega$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\mathbb{P}_o[X_t \;=\; o]\right] \;=\; \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\mathbb{P}[X_t \;=\; X_0]\right].$$

Proof: (see [23] for more detail) Let $\mathcal{C}_v$ be the connected component of $H(\omega)$ containing the vertex $v \in V$. Since the Euclidean lattice is a graph with a unimodular group of automorphisms, by the mass-transport-principle [7, 18], the left-hand side equals

$$\sum_{v\in V} \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\mathbb{P}_o[X_t \;=\; o]\frac{\chi_{\{v\in\mathcal{C}_o\}}}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\right] = \sum_{v\in V} \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\mathbb{P}_v[X_t \;=\; v]\frac{\chi_{\{o\in\mathcal{C}_v\}}}{|\mathcal{C}_v|}\right] = \sum_{v\in V} \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\mathbb{P}_v[X_t \;=\; v]\frac{\chi_{\{v\in\mathcal{C}_o\}}}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\right]$$

since $v \in \mathcal{C}_o \;\Leftrightarrow\; o \in \mathcal{C}_v$, which equals the right-hand-side. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *For $N > 3$ and $k \in \{1, ..., N-2\}$, let $I_t(k, N) := \sum\limits_{j=k+1}^{N-1} e^{-t(1-\cos \pi \frac{j}{N})}$. Then*

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
i.) & I_t(k,N) & \leq & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{N}{\sqrt{t}}\, e^{-2t\frac{k^2}{N^2}}, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad (7) \\
ii.) & I_t(k,N) & \leq & \frac{1}{2}\frac{N^2}{kt}\, e^{-2t\frac{k^2}{N^2}}. \qquad (8)
\end{array}
$$

Proof: From $\cos \pi x \leq 1 - 2x^2$ if $x \in [0,1]$ we obtain by following [22], (Ex. 2.1.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} e^{-t(1-\cos\pi\frac{j}{N})} &\leq \int_k^\infty e^{-2t\frac{x^2}{N^2}}dx \;\leq\; \frac{N}{\sqrt{2t}} \int_{\sqrt{2t}k/N}^\infty e^{-y^2}dy \qquad (9) \\
&\leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{-2t\frac{k^2}{N^2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2-2\sqrt{2t}\frac{k}{N}y}dy \;\leq\; \frac{N}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{-2t\frac{k^2}{N^2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2}dy,
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (7). Moreover, we have

$$
\int_z^\infty e^{-u^2}du \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\int_{z^2}^\infty e^{-y}\frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty e^{-(y+z^2)}\frac{dy}{\sqrt{y+z^2}} \;\leq\; \frac{e^{-z^2}}{2z}\int_0^\infty e^{-y}dy.
$$

Applying this inequality to the right-hand-side of (9) with $z = \frac{\sqrt{2t}k}{N}$ gives (8). $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\widehat{G} = G_X \Box G_Y$ be the Cartesian product of the simple, connected, finite graphs G_X, G_Y. Let $\widehat{X}_t$ be the continuous-time delayed random walk on $\widehat{G}$ with uniform initial distribution on the vertices of $\widehat{G}$. Let X_t and Y_t be the continuous-time delayed random walk on G_X and G_Y, also with uniform initial distribution on the vertex-sets of G_X and G_Y, respectively . Then*

$$
\mathbb{P}[\,\widehat{X}_{2t} \;=\; \widehat{X}_0\,] \;=\; \mathbb{P}[\,X_t \;=\; X_0\,] \cdot \mathbb{P}[\,Y_t \;=\; Y_0\,].
$$

Proof: Let $N = |V(G_X)|$, and $M = |V(G_Y)|$. Let P_X and P_Y be the transition kernels of X_t and Y_t, respectively. For the delayed random walk on $\widehat{G}$, with equal transition weights across edges of type $\{\langle x, v\rangle, \langle y, v\rangle\}$, and $\{\langle x, v\rangle, \langle x, w\rangle\}$ (where $x, y \in V(G)$, and $v, w \in V(H)$), the transition kernel is given by $\frac{1}{2}(P_X \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes P_Y)$ (see [24], chap. 18). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[X'_{2t} = X'_0] &= \frac{1}{N \cdot M}\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-2t(\mathbb{I}-\frac{1}{2}(P_X\otimes\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I}\otimes P_Y))}] = \frac{1}{N \cdot M}\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P_X)} \otimes e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P_Y)}] \\
&= \frac{1}{N}\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P_X)}]\,\frac{1}{M}\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-t(\mathbb{I}-P_Y)}] = \mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0]\,\mathbb{P}[Y_t = Y_0]. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\phi : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}_+$, *s.t.* $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi(k) = 1$ *with* $\Phi(m) := \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \phi(k)$. *Let there exist* $A, B, a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *such that* $\frac{A}{m^a} \;\le\; \Phi(m) \;\le\; \frac{B}{m^b}$ *for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\,\phi(k) \;\ge\; \frac{C}{m^{a(1+1/b)}}, \qquad \text{with} \quad C = \frac{(A/2)^{1-1/b}}{B^{1/b}}.$$

Proof:

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\,\phi(k) \;\ge\; \sum_{k=m}^{L} \frac{1}{k}\,\phi(k) \;\ge\; \frac{1}{L}\left(\Phi(m) \;-\; \Phi(L+1))\right) \;\ge\; \frac{1}{L}\left(\frac{A}{m^a} \;-\; \frac{B}{(L+1)^b}\right).$$

We set $\tilde{L} > 0$ to be the real value L, such that the parentheses on the right-hand side are exactly $\frac{1}{2} \cdot A/m^a$, i.e. $\tilde{L} := \left(\frac{2B}{A}\right)^{1/b} m^{a/b}$. Now, by defining $L_- := \lfloor \tilde{L} \rfloor$ and $L_+ := L_- + 1$, we have as a lower bound for the right-hand side

$$\frac{1}{L_-}\left(\frac{A}{m^a} \;-\; \frac{B}{(L_+)^b}\right) \;\ge\; \frac{1}{\tilde{L}}\left(\frac{A}{m^a} \;-\; \frac{B}{\tilde{L}^b}\right) \;\ge\; \frac{1}{m^{a/b}\,\left(\frac{2B}{A}\right)^{1/b}} \cdot \frac{A}{2\,m^a}. \qquad \square$$

3.2 Proofs of main results

Proof:(Upper bounds, Theorem 2.1) By the Theorem of [5] (see also the discussion in [9]) the Cartesian product $\widehat{G} := G_o \Box C_\delta$ is Hamiltonian. Let Y_t be the continuous-time delayed random walk on the cycle C_δ of order δ, with transition-kernel P_Y. Since $1/\delta \;\le\; \mathbb{P}[Y_t = Y_0] = (1/\delta)\mathrm{Tr}\exp(-t(\mathbb{I} - P_Y)) \;\le\; 1$, and from Lemma 3.3 it follows

$$\mathbb{P}[\widehat{X}_{2t} \;=\; \widehat{X}_0] \le \mathbb{P}[X_t \;=\; X_0] \;\le\; \delta \,\cdot\, \mathbb{P}[\widehat{X}_{2t} \;=\; \widehat{X}_0],$$

where $\widehat{X}_t$ is the continuous-time delayed random walk on $\widehat{G}$. By Theorem 1 in [13], the eigenvalues of the transition kernel $\widehat{P}$ of $\widehat{X}_t$ can be compared with the eigenvalues of the delayed random walk on $C_{\delta N}$; namely,

$$\widehat{\beta}_j \quad\le\quad 1 - \frac{2}{\delta+2}\left(1 - \cos 2\pi\,\frac{j-1}{\delta N}\right), \qquad (j \in \{1, ..., \delta N\}), \tag{10}$$

where $1 = \widehat{\beta}_1 > \widehat{\beta}_2 \ge \widehat{\beta}_3 \ge \widehat{\beta}_4 \ge ... \ge \widehat{\beta}_{\delta N}$ are the eigenvalues of $\widehat{P}$, and $N = |V(G_o)|$. The factor $2/(\delta+2)$ in front of $1 - \widehat{\beta}_j$ results from the regularisation with loops, characteristic of the delayed random walk on a graph $(\widehat{G})$ with maximal degree $\delta+2$, where the extra 2 comes from taking the Cartesian product with C_δ (see [23]). Note, the eigenvalues of $\widehat{P}$ are $\widehat{\beta}_{j,l} = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_j \;+\; \cos(2\pi(l-1)/\delta)$, with $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ and

$l \in \{1, ..., \delta\}$. From (10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\, \mathbb{P}[\widehat{X}_{2t} \;=\; \widehat{X}_0] &= \frac{1}{N} \mathrm{Tr}[e^{-2t(1-\widehat{P})}] \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} e^{-2t(1-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_j + \cos(2\pi(i-1)/\delta)))} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N}\big(1 + \delta \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} e^{-t(1-\beta_j)}\big) \;+\; \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\delta N} e^{-2t(\frac{2}{\delta+2}(1-\cos 2\pi \frac{j-1}{\delta N}))} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N}\big(1 + \delta \cdot k\, e^{-t(1-\beta_2)}\big) + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\delta \frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{4t}{\delta+2}(1-\cos 2\pi \frac{j}{\delta N})}. \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, Lemma 3.2 i.) and ii.) for $I_t(4t/(\delta+2), \delta N/2)$ yields the claim. $\square$

Remark: (Theorem 2.1) For $1 - \beta_2$ we have the standard lower bound given by the Poincaré inequality. The delayed random walk has the same spectrum as the simple random walk on the path 'decorated' with loops to yield a regular graph of degree δ [23]. In particular, $1 - \beta_2 \geq 1 - (1 - 2/\delta(1 - \cos(\pi/N))) \geq 4/(\delta N^2)$, by $\cos \pi x \leq 1 - 2x^2$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. If $k \in \{1, ..., N-2\}$ in (11) is chosen such that $\frac{4}{\delta N^2} \leq \frac{32k^2}{(\delta+2)\delta^2 N^2}$, or, equivalently $k^2 \geq \delta(\delta+2)/8$, then the first exponential term $\exp(-t(1-\beta_2))$ has weaker decay than the second. We see this is the case for a number independent of N. Therefore, provided that N is sufficiently large ($N - 1 > \delta(\delta+2)/8$), even if nothing else is known about β_2, Theorem 2.1 is an improvement over simply using $\beta_2 \geq \beta_j$ for $j \geq 2$ and the Poincaré inequality for $1 - \beta_2$, which would be the bound corresponding to $k = N - 1$ and the second term in (11) vanishing.

(Lower bound of Theorem 2.1): For a given finite simple graph $G_o = \langle V_o, E_o \rangle$, let $I(G_o)$ be the isoperimetric number (or 'Cheeger-constant') of G_o, defined by

$$
I(G_o) \quad = \quad \min_{A \subset V_o \,:\, |A| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V_o|} \frac{|\partial_{G_o} A|}{|A|}\ ,
$$

where $\partial_{G_o} A = \{\{k, l\} \in E_o \mid k \in A, l \notin A\}$ is the *edge-boundary* of A in G_o.

By a theorem of A.G. Boshier [8] the isoperimetric number I for graphs with genus bounded by g obeyes $I \leq 3\,\delta(g+2)/(\sqrt{|V_o|/2} - 3(g+2))$ if $|V_o| > 18(g+2)^2$ (see [19] for a discussion). From this result it follows that for plane finite graphs G_o (where $g = 0$) it holds if $|V_o| \geq 4 \cdot 72$ that

$$
I \quad \leq \quad K/\sqrt{|V_o|}, \qquad\qquad \text{with } K \;=\; 12\sqrt{2} \cdot \delta. \qquad (12)
$$

By Cheeger's inequality (see [22], Lemma 3.3.7), the spectral gap $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}\min_{v\neq \text{const}}$ $(v,(1-P)v)/(v,v) \;=\; 1-\beta_2$ for the delayed random walk with transition probability matrix P can be estimated from above,

$$\lambda \;\leq\; I.$$

By (12) this implies for plane graphs a lower bound on the return probability of the continuous-time delayed random walk with the uniform distribution as the initial distribution. We have $\mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] \;-\; 1/|V_o|$ is

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] \;-\; \tfrac{1}{|V_o|} \;\;=\;\; \tfrac{1}{|V_o|}\sum_{j=2}^{|V_o|} e^{-t(1-\beta_j)} \;\;\geq\;\; \tfrac{1}{|V_o|}e^{-t\lambda} \;\;\geq\;\; \tfrac{1}{|V_o|}e^{-\frac{t\,K}{|V_o|^{1/2}}}. \qquad \square$$

(Lower bound of Theorem 2.2): Compare this with [10], Lemma 2.2 and [24]. Let G be transitive, with a unimodular, transitive subgroup of $Aut(G)$, the automorphism group of G. Given $\omega \in \Omega$, for $G'(\omega)$ being the whole percolation subgraph of G, the graph G_o is the connected subgraph of $G'(\omega)$ induced by $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$, i.e. $V_o = \mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$.

In what follows, we will drop the dependence on ω, wherever it doesn't cause confusion. For example, we write $\mathcal{C}_o$ instead of $\mathcal{C}_o(\omega)$.

From Theorem 2.1, iii.), since G_o is almost surely finite, there is a lower bound for the expected return probability of the delayed random walk. Namely, for

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0]\right] \;-\; \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\right] \;=\; \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\,e^{-\frac{tK}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{C}_o|}}}\right]$$

and due to the assumption $t > \sqrt{288}$, we have the lower bound

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\,e^{-\frac{tK}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{C}_o|}}}\chi_{|\mathcal{C}_o|\geq t^2}\right] \;\geq\; \sum_{m\geq t^2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\,e^{-\frac{tK}{\sqrt{m}}}\phi(m) \;\geq\; e^{-K}\sum_{m\geq t^2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\,\phi(m).$$

The lower bound of Theorem 2.2 now follows by Lemma 3.4, with $D = e^{-K}\frac{A/2}{1+(2B/A)^{1/b}}$ and by applying Lemma 3.1. $\square$

(Upper bound of Theorem 2.2) By assumption, μ is invariant under a unimodular transitive automorphism group of G, and there are almost surely only finite clusters. In particular μ-a.s. $|\mathcal{C}_o| < \infty$.

Let $N = |\mathcal{C}_o|$. We differentiate between two cases: one, where λ is larger than some positive constant, the other when it becomes smaller, as the cluster size grows. Starting with the latter, we assume $\lfloor N\sqrt{a\lambda}\rfloor + 1 \leq N - 2$, where $q = \delta^2(\delta+2)/32$. We choose k in Theorem 2.1, i.), such that

$$\lambda \;:=\; 1 \;-\; \beta_2 \;\leq\; \frac{32}{\delta^2(\delta+2)}\cdot\frac{k^2}{N^2}.$$

This is accomplished if we set $k = \lfloor N\sqrt{q\lambda}\rfloor + 1$. (Note, $k \leq N-2$.) This choice implies $N\sqrt{q\lambda} \leq k < 1 + N\sqrt{q\lambda}$. Setting $c = \sqrt{\pi q/2}$, it follows

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t \ = \ X_0] \quad \leq \quad \frac{1}{N} \ + \ \left((\frac{1}{N} + \sqrt{q\lambda}) \ + \ \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}} \right) e^{-\lambda t}. \tag{13}$$

From $e^{-x} \leq y^y/x^y$ and $e^{-x} \leq ((y-1/2)/x)^{y-1/2}$ for $y > \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t \ = \ X_0] \quad \leq \quad \frac{1}{N} \ + \ \frac{1}{t^y}\left(y^y \left(\frac{1}{N\lambda^y} + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\lambda^{y-1/2}} \right) \ + \ c\frac{(y-\frac{1}{2})^{y-1/2}}{\lambda^{y-1/2}} \right).$$

Now using the Poincaré inequality $\lambda \geq \delta/(4N^2)$, we obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] \ &\leq \ \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{t^y}\left(y^y \left(\frac{16^y N^{2y-1}}{\delta^y} + \frac{\sqrt{q}(2N)^{2y-1}}{\delta^{y-1/2}} \right) + c\frac{(y-\frac{1}{2})^{y-1/2}(2N)^{2y-1})}{\delta^{y-1/2}} \right) \\ &\leq \ \frac{1}{N} \ + \ c_\delta \frac{N^{2y-1}}{t^y}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with

$$c_\delta \ = \ 2^{2y-1}\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^y \left(2^{2y+1} \ + \ \frac{\delta\sqrt{\delta(\delta+2)}}{4\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\pi/2}{y}} \left(y - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{y-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right). \tag{15}$$

Since $0 < b < 1$, and from the exponent $2y-1$ of N in (14), we see that by the remark after Corollary 2.3 we can assume $1/2 < y < 1$. This leads to the upper bound $c_\delta \leq 8 \cdot (8 + \frac{\delta(\delta+2)}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \sqrt{\pi}/2)) \leq 9\delta(\delta+2)$, if $\delta \geq 3$ is taken into account. We get the lower bound $c_\delta \geq 2\sqrt{\delta(\delta+2)}$ by using that the function $y \mapsto y^y$ is bounded below by $\frac{1}{2}$.

Now, turning to $\lfloor N\sqrt{q\lambda}\rfloor + 1 > N-2$, which is equivalent to $\lambda > (1-3/N)^2/q > 1/(16q)$ if $N \geq 4$. If $N < 4$, we have the Poincaré inequality $\lambda \geq \delta/(4N^2) \geq \delta/36$. So, in both of these two cases, the function $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] - 1/N$ is decreasing exponentially fast. Since it is smaller than 1, the overall estimate covering all three possibilities (including the polynomially decreasing one) is given if the constant $c_\delta > 1$ in (15) is multiplied by three.

Taking the expectation of both sides of the inequality and applying Lemma 3.1 yields the result. $\square$

(Corollary 2.3, i.), Upper bound): Since Bernoulli bond percolation on the Euclidean lattice is invariant under the unimodular transitive group of translations of the Euclidean lattice, this is a special case of Theorem 2.2. The result follows from the well-known fact[11], that there exists $\alpha > 0$, s.t. $\mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha] < \infty$. (From [15 it follows that $\alpha < 1/5$). $\square$

(Corollary 2.3, i.), Lower bound): By the power law inequality $\Phi(m) = \mathbb{P}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o| \geq m] \geq \frac{1}{2} m^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (see [11], Theorem 11.89), we have $a = \frac{1}{2}$ in (4). By Theorem 2.2, and since $\alpha < b$ the given estimate follows. It is valid for all $t > 0$ due to the ability to choose the constant C_2 so large that $C_2^{-1}(288)^{-(1+1/\alpha)} = 1$. $\square$

(Corollary 2.3, ii.), Upper and Lower bound): It is well-known that for the homogeneous tree of finite degree, $a = b = \frac{1}{2}$ (see [1], [17], and [3]). Just as in the previous proof, the constant C_δ can be chosen so large, that the estimate is valid for all $t > 0$. $\square$

(Theorem 2.4, Upper bound): The integrated density of states $N(E)$ obeys [16, 20, 23] the relation $\int_0^\infty e^{-tE} dN(E) = \mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{P}_o[X_t = o]]$, such that by Theorem 2.2, i.)

$$e^{-t\epsilon}(N(\epsilon) \; - \; N(0)) \;\; \leq \;\; \int\limits_0^\epsilon e^{-tE} dN(E) \;\; \leq \;\; P_t \; - \; \kappa \;\; \leq \;\; c_4 \, \mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha] \, t^{-\nu},$$

where $\nu = \frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha)$, with α such that $\mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha] < \infty$, and $c_4 = \left(8 + \sqrt{3\pi}\right)$. Choosing $t = \nu/\epsilon$ and thereby optimising the upper bound for $N(\epsilon) \; - \; N(0)$ leads to the result.

(Theorem 2.4, Lower bound): Again, by $\int_0^\infty e^{-tE} dN(E) = \mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{P}_o[X_t = o]]$, Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.3, with $\alpha > 0$ s.t. $\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha] < \infty$,

$$\frac{C_2^{-1}}{t^{(1+1/\alpha)}} \leq \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-tE} dN(E) \;\; \leq \;\; \int\limits_0^\epsilon dN(E) \; + \; e^{-t\epsilon} \int\limits_\epsilon^\infty dN(E) \;\; \leq \;\; N(\epsilon) - N(0) + e^{-t\epsilon}.$$

Therefore, $N(\epsilon) - N(0) \geq \frac{1}{2} C_2^{-1} t^{-(1+1/\alpha)} - e^{-t\epsilon}$. Choosing $t = -(\bar{c}/\epsilon)\log\epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$ produces the result if, for example, $\bar{c} = 2\cdot(1+1/\alpha)$. Then $C_3 = \max\{C_2^{-1}/(\bar{c}\log\epsilon)^{1+\alpha^{-1}}$, $c_4 \, \mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{C}_o|a]\}$. $\square$

(Theorem 2.5) Bernoulli bond percolation on the d-dimensional Euclidean lattice is a percolation invariant under the unimodular translation group of the lattice. The degree is $\delta = 2 \cdot d$. Assuming sub-critical Bernoulli bond-percolation, we have existence of the first moment of the cluster size. By repeating the argument of the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 2.2 (which lead to (13)) with 2.1 ii.) instead of 2.1 i.), yields with $q = 4/(d^2(d+1))$

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \mathbb{P}[X_t \; = \; X_0] \quad \leq \quad \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|}\right] \; + \; \mathbb{E}_\mu\left[\frac{2dk}{|\mathcal{C}_o|} e^{-\frac{t}{|\mathcal{C}_o|^2}} \;\; + \;\; \frac{2}{q\,t} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_o|}{k} \exp\left(-\frac{q\,t\,k^2}{|\mathcal{C}_o|^2}\right)\right].$$

Now, choosing $k = 1$, and using $\exp x \;\leq\; 1/x$ for $x > 0$ gives

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \mathbb{P}[X_t = X_0] \quad \leq \quad \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_o|} \right] + \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[2d \frac{|\mathcal{C}_o|}{t} + \frac{2|\mathcal{C}_o|}{q\,t} \right].$$

Calling $\kappa(p) = \mathbb{E}_\mu[1/|\mathcal{C}_o|]$ (note the difference to [12] regarding the clusters which consist of only one vertex), letting $\chi(p) := \mathbb{E}_\mu[|\mathcal{C}_o|]$ and realising $2d + 2/q = \frac{d}{4}(d^2 + d + 8)$, leads to the lower bound after a subsequent application of Lemma 3.1, and a rearrangement of the terms in the inequality.

The other constant $27\delta(\delta+2) = 108d(d+1)$ follows from the method used for proving the upper bound of Theorem 2.2, and by setting α in $\mathbb{E}_p[|\mathcal{C}_o|^\alpha] < \infty$ equal to one. The upper bound follows from the observation that $P_t - \kappa(p) = \mathbb{E}_\mu[(1/|\mathcal{C}_o)|\mathrm{Tr}\exp(-t(1-P))] \geq 0$, since $1 - P$ has only non-negative eigenvalues. ☐

4 Acknowledgement

I am grateful for the discusion with Peter Müller concerning the asymptotics of P_t and its comparison with the incipient infinite cluster in the case of homogeneous trees, and for Adam Timar informing me about [3]. This work has been written with the support of the Project P18703 of the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF).

5 Bibliography

1. D. Aldous: ‘Asymptotic fringe disttributions for general families of random trees’, Ann. of Probab. Vol1. 1, No.2, 228-266, (1991)
2. S. Alexander, R. Orbach: ‘Density of states on fractals: “fractons” ’, J. Physique (Paris) Lett. 43, 625-631, (1982)
3. A. Bandyopadhyay, J. Steif, A. Timar: ‘On the Cluster Size Distribution for Percolation on Some General Graphs’, arXiv:0805.3620v1, Theorem 2.c, (2008)
4. M. T. Barlow, T. Kumagai: ‘Random walk on the incipient infinite cluster on trees’, Illinois Journ. Math., 50, 33-65, (2006)
5. V. Batagelj, T. Pisanski: ‘Hamiltonian cycles in the Cartesian product of a tree and a cycle’, Discr. Math,. 38, 311-312, (1982)
6. I. Benjamini, A. Nachmias, Y. Peres: ‘Is the critical percolation probability local?’, arXiv:0901.4616, (2009)
7. I. Benjamini, R. Lyons; Y. Peres, O. Schramm: ‘Critical percolation on any nonamenable group has no infinite clusters’, Ann. Probab. 27, no. 3, 1347-1356, (1999)

8. A.G. Boshier: ‘Enlarging properties of graphs’, Ph.D. thesis, Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, University of London, (1987)
9. V. V. Dimakopoulos, L. Palios, A. S. Poulakidas: ‘On the hamiltonicity of the Cartesian product’ Inf. Process. Lett. 96(2): 49-53, (2005)
10. L.R.Fontès, P. Mathieu: ‘On symmetric random walks with random rates. Probability Theory and Related Fields’, vol. 134, No 4, 565-602, (2006)
11. G.R.Grimmet: ‘Percolation’, 2nd edition, Springer (1999)
12. G.R.Grimmet: ‘On the number of clusters in the percolation model’, J.London Math.Soc. (2), 13 (1976), 346-350
13. J. van den Heuvel: ‘Hamiltonian Cycles and Eigenvalues of Graphs’, Lin. Alg. Appl. 226-228:723-730, (1995)
14. H. Kesten: ‘Subdiffusive behaviour of random walk on a random cluster’, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré , Probab. et Statistiques 22, 425-487, (1986)
15. H. Kesten: ‘Scaling relations for 2D-percolation’, Comm. Math. Phys. 109, 09-156, (1987)
16. W.Kirsch, P.Müller: ‘Spectral properties of the Laplacian on bond-percolative graphs’, Math. Z. 252, 899-916 (2006)
17. V.F. Kolchin: ‘Random Mappings’, Optimization Software Inc. Publ. Div., New York, (Transl. of Russion Original), (1986)
18. R. Lyons, Y. Peres: ‘Probability on trees and networks’, web-book: http://mypage.iu.edu/∼rdlyons/prbtree/prbtree.html
19. B. Mohar:‘Isoperimetric numbers of graphs’, Jour. Comb. Theory, B 47, 274-291, (1989)
20. P. Müller, P. Stollmann: ‘Spectral asymptotics of the Laplacian on supercritical bond-percolation graphs’, Jour. Func. Anal. 252, Is. 1, 1 11, 233-246, (2007)
21. J.R. Norris: ‘Markov Chains’, Cambridge University Press, (1997), chap. 2
22. L.Saloff-Coste: ‘Lectures on finite Markov Chains’, Saint-Flour summer school: lecture notes, LNM 1665, (1997)
23. F. Sobieczky: ‘An interlacing technique for spectra of random walks and its application to finite percolation clusters’, arXiv:math/0504518, (2008) to appear in Jour. of Theoret. Probab.
24. W. Woess: ‘Random Walks on Infinite Graphs and Groups’, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 138, Camb. Univ. Press, (2000), (chapter 12.13)